

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3

4 In re:) Case No. 05-21621-B-13J
5)
5 LAWRENCE C. OBERG and BARBARA C.) Docket Control No. ARP-1
6 OBERG,)
6) Date: June 7, 2005
7 Debtors.) Time: 1:30 p.m.
7) Department B

8 MEMORANDUM DECISION

9 I. INTRODUCTION

10 The Debtors filed this voluntary chapter 7 case on February
11 16, 2005. Prem N. Dhawan (the "Former Trustee") was appointed as
12 the chapter 7 trustee herein. Less than three weeks later, on
13 March 8, 2005, the Debtors converted their case to chapter 13. The
14 Former Trustee seeks approval of an administrative claim for his
15 fees incurred in connection with this case and those of Hughes &
16 Pritchard, LLP ("Counsel"), attorneys who advised him in this
17 matter. This claim consists of \$1,800 in fees for the Former
18 Trustee and \$1,284.50 for Counsel. The Debtors object to these
19 fees as unreasonable.

20 II. BACKGROUND TO THE FEE REQUEST

21 The facts in this case exhibit the tension between a trustee's
22 statutory duties and the practical difficulties that may arise when
23 a chapter 7 debtor has a "mom and pop" business. Prior to filing,
24 the Debtors had operated a Swanson's dry cleaning franchise for
25 over ten years. They did not perform the actual dry cleaning, but
26 rather provided a drop-off location for customers to obtain dry
27 cleaning services. The items to be cleaned were sent to a central
28 off-site location owned by the franchisor. Due to the nature of

1 their business, the Debtors did not own any dry cleaning equipment.
2 The Debtors planned to continue this business after their
3 bankruptcy filing.

4 The Debtors scheduled the Swanson's franchise as having no
5 value because the franchise agreement was expressly non-
6 transferable. The equipment scheduled for the business was valued
7 at \$507, consisting primarily of miscellaneous furniture and office
8 equipment. Finally, the Debtors scheduled inventory consisting of
9 dry cleaning items expected to be claimed by customers. All items
10 used or related to the business were scheduled as exempt in
11 Schedule C. The Debtors' Schedules I and J showed that the Debtors
12 netted approximately \$100 each month from operation of the
13 franchise and an additional \$500 from alterations they performed
14 for customers of the business.

15 Immediately after filing, the Debtors filed a motion to have
16 the Former Trustee abandon the business as "burdensome" to the
17 estate and of "inconsequential value." In addition to having
18 exempted the tangible assets of the business, the Debtors contended
19 in their motion that the business had no equity because the
20 franchise was non-transferable. According to the record, the
21 Debtors' counsel discussed these facts or contentions with the
22 Former Trustee on two or three occasions, which is confirmed by the
23 Former Trustee's time sheets.

24 In response, the Trustee demanded that the Debtors provide
25 extensive documentation, including all bank account information
26 with copies of all checks received and issued, tax returns, the
27 general ledger, insurance policies and other information. Further,
28 the Former Trustee demanded that he be named as an additional

1 insured on the insurance policies for the business. The Debtors
2 provided only copies of their lease for the business premises and
3 the franchise agreement.

4 According to the Debtors' counsel, the Former Trustee demanded
5 that the estate receive compensation for the business. The Debtors
6 refused to pay anything for the business based on the advice of a
7 business broker, who said the business was not saleable. As the
8 hearing on the motion to abandon approached, the Former Trustee
9 requested additional time to assess the value of the business and
10 demanded that he be placed on the insurance policies. The
11 discussions reached an impasse when the Debtors learned that naming
12 the Former Trustee as an additional insured would cost \$5,000. Due
13 to his concerns about the Debtors' continued operation of the
14 business, the Former Trustee advised the Debtors that he would shut
15 down the business. As an alternative to losing their business, the
16 Debtors converted their case to chapter 13.

17 Prior to conversion of this case to chapter 13, the Former
18 Trustee sought advice from Counsel. However, the Former Trustee
19 did not have sufficient time to obtain court approval for Counsel's
20 appointment. The two attorneys working on this case incurred a
21 combined total of \$1,012.50 in fees prior to the conversion.

22 The Debtors promptly obtained confirmation of their chapter 13
23 plan, which proposes monthly payments of \$100 each for 36 months.
24 The unsecured creditors will receive a 1% dividend. The Statement
25 of Investigation filed on April 29, 2005 by the chapter 13 trustee
26 finds that the Debtors fully cooperated by provided all
27 documentation requested. Further, the chapter 13 trustee agreed
28 with the Debtors' assessment that the business had minimal value.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III. DISCUSSION

This court follows the holding in In re Hages, 252 B.R. 792 (Bankr. N.D.Cal. 2000), which permits a chapter 7 trustee in a case converted to chapter 13 to calculate the statutory maximum fee based on imputed payments under the chapter 13 plan. Id. at 792-93. While referencing the Hages decision and the statutory cap under section 326(a), the Former Trustee has not provided any calculation of his maximum fee. According to the Debtors plan, the maximum fee would be \$900 based on total distributions of \$3,600. The Former Trustee seeks twice this amount.

As discussed above, the Former Trustee did not have time to obtain approval of Counsel due to the prompt conversion of this case to chapter 13. During the two weeks Counsel was involved, two attorneys were involved in this matter. It appears from the time sheets that the Former Trustee contacted the first attorney, Mr. Hughes, who turned the file over to the second attorney, Ms. Pritchard. While the individual attorneys' time and rates may appear reasonable, the creditors should not have to bear the expense of having two attorneys work on what is essentially a "no asset" case.

IV. CONCLUSION

In his motion, the Former Trustee states that "it was his persistence in pursuing turnover of assets, that caused the Debtors to convert to a chapter 13 case." The court cannot agree. Here, the Debtors fully disclosed the existence of their marginal business, which could not be sold. While both the Former Trustee and the Debtors were placed in a difficult position by the post-petition operation of this business, the Debtors moved promptly to

1 have the business removed from the bankruptcy estate. A review of
2 the franchise agreement confirms that the business is non-
3 transferrable, a fact that neither the Former Trustee nor Counsel
4 disputes. The Former Trustee could have easily confirmed that the
5 business assets had marginal value, particularly in light of the
6 fact that they had been fully exempted. Instead, the delay in
7 reaching this conclusion led to the chapter 13 conversion.

8 The court does not fault the Former Trustee for his diligence
9 or his concern about the continued business operations. On the
10 other hand, the minuscule return to unsecured creditors in this
11 chapter 13 case would be fully eroded by the requested
12 administrative claim. With the benefit of hindsight, this truly is
13 a no-asset case. The court will allow the Former Trustee and
14 Counsel a combined administrative expense claim of \$500, which
15 should more than adequately compensate them for their preliminary
16 investigation of this case.

17 The court will enter a separate order consistent with this
18 decision.

19 Dated: June 13, 2005

21 /s/_____
22 JANE DICKSON McKEAG
23 United States Bankruptcy Judge
24
25
26
27
28